

August 15, 1997

Introduced By:

BRIAN DERDOWSKI
LARRY GOSSETT

ST/424M2

Proposed No.:

97-488

MOTION NO. **10281**

A MOTION authorizing the County Executive to enter into interlocal agreements with the city of Maple Valley relating to the County's provision of local services.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

WHEREAS, the city of Maple Valley (the "city") will incorporate on August 31, 1997,

and

WHEREAS, the city desires to secure certain municipal services from the county for its residents, and

WHEREAS, the county is willing and able to provide the requested municipal services;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The county executive is hereby authorized to execute interlocal agreements, substantially in the forms attached, with the city of Maple Valley for the county to provide the following services:

1. Law Enforcement
2. Surface Water Management (Water and Land Resources)

PASSED by a vote of 12 to 0 this 25th day of August, 1997.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Jane Hogue
Chair

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Clerk of the Council

Attachment: Interlocal Agreements

**INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN KING COUNTY AND
THE CITY OF MAPLE VALLEY
FOR THE PROVISION OF SURFACE WATER RELATED SERVICES
AND THE TRANSFER OF DRAINAGE FACILITIES**

1 This agreement is made and entered into between the City of Maple Valley and King
2 County to provide for the collection of surface water management service charges on behalf of
3 Maple Valley and for the transfer of drainage system responsibilities to the City.

4 WHEREAS, the residents of the unincorporated King County area known as Maple Valley
5 have voted to become an incorporated city, and

6 WHEREAS, Maple Valley recognizes the need for comprehensive surface water
7 management to preserve and protect the environment, public and private property, and the health
8 and welfare of its citizens, and

9 WHEREAS, Maple Valley has adopted the necessary legislation to establish a surface
10 water management program financed through a service charge on developed properties, and to
11 authorize the City to enter into this agreement, and

12 WHEREAS, King County has a fully developed surface water management service charge
13 billing system which can be used for other jurisdictions' billings when an interlocal agreement is
14 negotiated between the parties, and

15 WHEREAS, Maple Valley wishes to contract with King County for use of the County's
16 system to bill city property owners for the City's surface water service charge, and

17 WHEREAS, responsibility for drainage facilities formerly within King County and now
18 within Maple Valley will transfer from King County to Maple Valley to be assumed under the
19 City's surface water management program, together with transfer of drainage-related easements
20 held by the County, and

21 WHEREAS, the County and the City also wish to provide for future transfer of
22 ownership of County-owned drainage properties to the City, and

23 WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 36.89.050, the County and the City acknowledge that the
24 transfer of drainage-related facilities is subject to the condition that the facilities will continue to
25 be used for drainage control purposes, and

26 WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 39.34, the parties are each authorized to enter into an
27 interlocal agreement;

28 NOW THEREFORE, it is agreed by the parties as follows:

1 I. Purpose of the Agreement:

2 A. To establish and set forth the services the parties agree will be provided for the
3 billing and collection of the surface water management service charge on properties
4 located in Maple Valley.

5 B. To establish a means whereby the County can act as the City's agent to collect the
6 City's surface water service charge from property owners and transmit revenues
7 collected to the City.

8 C. To set forth the process by which King County will transfer to Maple Valley
9 responsibility for the drainage facilities within city limits and provide for future
10 transfer of County-owned drainage properties to the City.

11 II. Administration

12 A. The City and King County shall each appoint a representative to review compliance
13 with this agreement and to resolve any conflicts. The City and King County shall
14 each notify the other in writing of its designated representative. The administrators of
15 the Agreement shall meet as needed. Either party is authorized to convene a meeting
16 with a minimum of ten (10) calendar days written notice to the other.

17 B. Any conflict that is not resolved by the Agreement administrators within ten (10)
18 working days of the meeting held to discuss the conflict shall be referred for
19 resolution to the City Manager and the WLRD Manager. If the conflict cannot be
20 resolved by the City Manager and the WLRD Manager, it shall be resolved by the
21 City Manager and the Director of the King County Department of Natural Resources
22 (DNR).

23 III. City's Authority for Surface Water Program

24 A. By ordinance, Maple Valley will establish and maintain the legal authority to operate
25 a surface water management program, including operation and maintenance of
26 drainage facilities, financed through a surface water service charge to developed
27 properties within the city. Such ordinance will also authorize the County to provide
28 surface water service charge billing and collection services to the City under
29 contract, including acting as the City's agent to collect the charge from property
30 owners.

1 IV. Description of Surface Water Service Charge Billing and Collection Services:

2 The services provided under this agreement include:

- 3 A. customer services related to surface water service charge billing and revenue
4 collection for Maple Valley property owners,
- 5 B. collection and transferal of cash receipts,
- 6 C. maintaining and updating Maple Valley's customer information database, except that
7 Maple Valley will be responsible for providing information on new commercial
8 accounts,
- 9 D. processing and mailing billing statements, delinquency notices and other
10 correspondence,
- 11 E. incorporating surface water management fee rate changes,
- 12 F. providing reports, and
- 13 G. other services related to billing and revenue collection as requested.

14 V. Responsibilities of the Parties - Service Charge Billing and Collection:

15 A. King County:

- 16 1. King County will collect and distribute to Maple Valley revenue received from
17 properties within the city of Maple Valley using the County's combined
18 Property Tax and Drainage Billing Statement. These services, as described in
19 agreement section IV., will commence for the 1998 billing year.
- 20 2. King County will hold revenues collected for Maple Valley in a separate account
21 and will disburse the revenue to the City on each business day.
- 22 3. King County will provide the City with information about delinquent accounts.

23 B. Maple Valley:

- 24 1. Maple Valley will adopt legislation establishing a surface water management
25 service charge rate structure, including credits and exemptions, as set forth in
26 Exhibit One, attached to this agreement and incorporated herein.
- 27 2. The City's surface water service charge rate structure as identified above may be
28 modified as follows: If in any given calendar year the City elects to change its
29 service charge rate structure for the following calendar year, it will notify the
30 County of the new structure at least 60 days prior to the beginning of the new

1 calendar year, allowing time for the County to make necessary adjustments to
2 the billing system.

- 3 3. Maple Valley will be responsible for all actions resulting from delinquent
4 accounts, including any liens and foreclosures on Maple Valley property
5 resulting from such delinquencies.

6 VI. Financial Arrangements

7 A. **Service Costs.** Estimated annual costs for billing and revenue collection services are
8 outlined in Exhibit Two, attached to this agreement and incorporated herein. Maple
9 Valley will pay the County for billing, revenue collection and disbursement services
10 as set forth below:

- 11 1. Maple Valley will pay an annual per-account fee for surface water management
12 service charge billing, customer database management, and customer service.
13 The fee is one dollar and seventy-seven cents (\$1.77) per customer account for
14 1997. King County may adjust the fee annually, based on staff and overhead
15 cost changes authorized in the adopted King County annual budget.
- 16 2. Maple Valley will pay a one-time fee of one dollar and eighty six cents (\$1.86)
17 per customer account to cover the cost of modifying the billing system for
18 Maple Valley accounts.
- 19 3. Pursuant to RCW 84.56.035, Maple Valley will pay the County a flat one
20 percent (1%) of all revenue collected by the County for Maple Valley under the
21 terms of this Agreement. This charge will remain unchanged for the duration of
22 this agreement and will be deducted from the revenues collected prior to
23 forwarding to the City. This charge is not part of the per-account fee.

24 B. **Billing and Payment for Services**

- 25 1. King County will invoice the City for the annual per-account billing fee outlined
26 in agreement section VI. A. 1. in two installments annually (approximately May
27 and October).
- 28 2. King County will invoice the City for the one-time fee outlined in agreement
29 section VI. A. 2. in two installments of ninety-three cents (\$0.93). The first half

1 of the charge will appear on the first bill for services in 1998. The second half of
2 the charge will appear on the first bill for services in 1999:

- 3 3. Maple Valley will pay King County within 45 days after the receipt of invoices.
4 Interest may be assessed on balances unpaid after 45 days.
- 5 4. King County will deduct the one percent revenue collection charge, as outlined
6 in agreement section VI. A. 3., from revenues transferred to the City.

7 VII. Transfer of Drainage System Responsibility

8 A. Maple Valley Responsibilities

- 9 1. As of the City's incorporation date, the City will assume full and complete
10 responsibility for the operation, maintenance, repairs, and any subsequent
11 improvements to the drainage facilities listed on Exhibit Three ("City of Maple
12 Valley Residential and Regional Drainage Facilities"), attached to this
13 agreement and incorporated herein, and all liability arising from such
14 responsibilities. Responsibilities include all financial responsibilities, including
15 but not limited to materials, construction, personnel, payroll, and purchasing
16 costs.
- 17 2. The City agrees to operate and maintain the drainage facilities listed on Exhibit
18 Three as designed and to at least the same maintenance standards as those
19 adopted and employed by King County to ensure that the local and watershed-
20 wide effects of said facilities shall not be diminished.
- 21 3. The City will provide King County access to all relevant information maintained
22 by the City in connection with the facilities listed on Exhibit Three if legal
23 action is brought or threatened against King County or King County and the City
24 jointly with regard to the facilities listed in Exhibit Three.
- 25 4. The City will consult with King County prior to the destruction of any
26 documentation associated with the facilities listed on Exhibits Three for a period
27 of seven (7) years.
- 28 5. The City accepts responsibility for the operation, maintenance, repairs, and any
29 subsequent improvements to the facilities listed in Exhibit Three in "as is"

1 condition. The County makes no warranty concerning such facilities other than
2 as set forth in this agreement.

3 B. King County Responsibilities

- 4 1. King County hereby assigns to the City and the City accepts all easements on
5 private property heretofore acquired by or dedicated to King County for drainage
6 purposes.
- 7 2. King County will provide the City, at the earliest opportunity, copies of all
8 warranties, maps, titles, "as built," and any and all other records related to the
9 facilities listed on Exhibit Three, to the extent known and available to King
10 County.
- 11 3. Certain drainage facilities exist wholly or partly on property owned by King
12 County, as identified on Exhibit Three. King County grants the city and/or its
13 agents access to those County owned properties for drainage system
14 maintenance purposes until such time as King County is no longer and Maple
15 Valley becomes the legal owner of the properties, as addressed in agreement
16 section VIII., below.

17 C. Both Parties

- 18 1. Both parties will make staff available to identify and review any additional
19 County-owned drainage facilities to be conveyed to the City. Such facilities
20 include those located in areas annexed to the City in the future. Additional
21 County-owned drainage facilities shall be transferred to the City pursuant to this
22 agreement. Documentation of additional facilities to be transferred shall be
23 attached to this agreement as an amendment, pursuant to Agreement Section X.
- 24 2. The records related to matters covered by this Agreement are subject to
25 inspection, review or audit by King County or the City at the requesting party's
26 sole expense. Such records shall be made available for inspection during regular
27 business hours within a reasonable time of the request.

28 VIII. Transfer of Drainage Property Ownership

29 Properties and interests in properties heretofore dedicated to or otherwise acquired by
30 King County for drainage control purposes now located within the Maple Valley city

1 limits will be transferred to the City by separate action. The City agrees to such transfer
2 and the County and the City agree to complete the transfer of title within one year of
3 execution of this agreement. The transfer of such properties and interests in properties is a
4 ministerial act by the parties and in no way diminishes or alters the City's responsibilities
5 as set forth above in agreement section VII.

6 IX. Future Cooperation on Jacqueline Meadows/Wilderness Village Drainage

7 A. Status

8 The Maple Valley city limits encompass an area (known as the "Jacqueline
9 Meadows/Wilderness Village" area) which has a history of flooding. WLRD
10 completed a Phase I Drainage Study for preliminary evaluation of flooding causes
11 and potential remedies, and completed enhancements to several drainage facilities in
12 the area. As of July 1997, WLRD is in the process of completing a Phase II Drainage
13 Study to more fully analyze the drainage situation and potential means for addressing
14 flooding. The Phase II Drainage Study is expected to be completed in August 1997.

15 B. Future Cooperation Between King County and Maple Valley

- 16 1. WLRD will make staff available to continue discussions with the City of Maple
17 Valley regarding Jacqueline Meadows/Wilderness Village drainage issues. King
18 County and Maple Valley will each designate staff to act as the County and City
19 liaisons for purposes of discussing the Phase II Drainage Study and relevant
20 implementation issues.
- 21 2. Upon completion of the Phase II Study, King County will make designated staff
22 available to review study results with Maple Valley staff, including examination
23 of alternatives for addressing flooding, identification of recommended
24 alternatives, potential King County participation in implementing recommended
25 alternatives, and public involvement in study outcomes and selection of any
26 preferred alternatives.
- 27 3. Any future cooperative action by the parties to implement study alternatives is
28 subject to a separate interlocal agreement.

29 X. Effectiveness, Termination and Amendment

- 30 A. This agreement is effective upon signature by both parties.

- 1 B. The service provision aspects of this agreement (surface water service charge billing
2 and revenue collection) will automatically renew from year to year. Either party may
3 terminate service provision upon 90 days written notice to the other party, subject to
4 the following: if the City wishes to terminate billing and revenue collection for the
5 following calendar year, it will notify the County in writing by October 1 of the
6 preceding year to allow for changes to the County's computerized billing system.
- 7 C. Notwithstanding termination of this agreement, drainage system responsibility set
8 forth above in section VII. transferred pursuant to this agreement shall remain with
9 the City, unless the County consents otherwise in writing, as approved by the King
10 County Council.
- 11 D. This agreement may be amended, altered, or clarified only by written agreement of
12 the parties hereto, and may be supplemented by addenda or amendments which have
13 been agreed upon by both parties in writing. Copies of such addenda and
14 amendments shall be attached hereto and by this reference made part of this
15 agreement as though fully set forth herein.
- 16 E. This agreement is a complete expression of the terms hereto and any oral or written
17 representations or understandings not incorporated herein are excluded. The parties
18 recognize that time is of the essence in the performance of the provisions of this
19 agreement. Waiver of any default shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any
20 subsequent default. Waiver of breach of any provision of this agreement shall not be
21 deemed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent breach and shall not be construed
22 to be a modification of the terms of the agreement unless stated to be such through
23 written approval by the parties which shall be attached to the original agreement.

24 XI. Indemnification and Hold Harmless

- 25 A. King County shall indemnify and hold harmless the City and its elected officials,
26 officers, agents or employees, or any of them, from and against any and all claims,
27 actions, suits, liability, loss, costs, expenses and damages of any nature whatsoever,
28 which are caused by or result from a negligent action or omission of King County, its
29 officers, agents and employees in performing its obligations pursuant to this
30 Agreement, including all claims arising prior to the effective date of incorporation.

1 In the event that any suit based upon such a claim, action, loss or damage is
2 brought against the City or the City and King County, King County shall defend the
3 same at its sole cost and expense and, if final judgment be rendered against the City
4 and its elected officials, officers, agents and employees or jointly against the City and
5 King County and their respective elected officials, officers, agents and employees,
6 King County shall satisfy the same.

7 B. In executing this Agreement, the County does not assume liability or responsibility
8 for or in any way release the City from any liability or responsibility which arises in
9 whole or in part from the existence or effect of City ordinances, rules or regulations.
10 If any cause, claim, suit, action or administrative proceeding is commenced in which
11 the enforceability and/or validity of any such City ordinance, rule or regulation is at
12 issue, the City shall defend the same at its sole expense and if judgment is entered or
13 damages are awarded against the City, the County or both, the City shall satisfy the
14 same, including all chargeable costs and attorney's fees.

15 C. The City shall indemnify and hold harmless King County and its elected officials,
16 officers, agents and employees, or any of them, from and against any and all claims,
17 actions, suits, liability, loss, costs, expenses and damages of any nature whatsoever,
18 which are caused by or result from a negligent act or omission of the City, its
19 officers, agents and employees in performing obligations pursuant to this Agreement,
20 and from claims that arose after the effective date of incorporation.

21 In the event that any suit based upon such a claim, action, loss or damage is
22 brought against King County or King County and the City, the City shall defend the
23 same at its sole cost and expense and, if final judgment be rendered against King
24 County and its officers, agents and employees or jointly against King County and the
25 City and their respective officers, agents and employees, the City shall satisfy the
26 same.

27 D. Each Party to this Agreement shall immediately notify the other of any and all
28 claims, actions, losses or damages that arise or are brought against that Party relating
29 to or pertaining to the sites identified in Exhibit Three.

1 E. Each party agrees that its obligations under this subparagraph extend to any claim,
2 demand, and/or cause of action brought by or on behalf of any employees, or agents.
3 For this purpose, each party, by mutual negotiation, hereby waives, with respect to
4 the other party only, any immunity that would otherwise be available against such
5 claims under the Industrial Insurance provisions of Title 51 RCW.
6

7 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this agreement on the ____
8 day of _____, 19____.
9

10
11 Approved as to Form

KING COUNTY:

12
13
14
15 _____
16 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

King County Executive

17
18
19
20
21 Approved as to Form:

CITY OF MAPLE VALLEY:

22
23
24 _____
25 Legal Counsel

City Manager

Annual Surface Water Management Service Charges

There are two types of services charges: the flat rate and the sliding rate.

- The flat rate service charge of \$85.02 a year applies to single-family homes and parcels with 10% or less impervious surface.
- The sliding rate service charge applies to all other properties in the service area. The sliding rate is calculated by measuring the amount of impervious surface on each parcel and multiplying the appropriate rate by the total parcel acreage.

Several special rate categories will automatically be assigned to those who qualify:

- An exemption for any home owned and occupied by a low-income senior citizen determined by the Assessor to qualify under RCW 84.36.381.
- A discount for any parcel served by a County-approved retention/detention (R/D) facility maintained by the owner.
- A discount for any parcel (or part of a parcel) officially designated as open space.

Annual Rate Table

Rate Category	Percent Impervious Surface	Annual Service Charge (\$)
1) Residential: single-family home		85.02/parcel
2) Very Light	less than or equal to 10%	85.02/parcel
3) Light	more than 10%, less than or equal to 20%	198.40/acre
4) Moderate	more than 20%, less than or equal to 45%	410.98/acre
5) Moderately Heavy	more than 45%, less than or equal to 65%	793.60/acre
6) Heavy	more than 65%, less than or equal to 85%	1006.16/acre
7) Very Heavy	more than 85%, less than or equal to 100%	1317.94/acre

Rate Adjustments

Any person receiving a bill may file a request for a rate adjustment within two years of the billing date. (Filing a request will not extend the payment period.)

Property owners should file a request for a change in the rate assessed if:

- the property acreage is incorrect;
- the measured impervious surface is incorrect;
- the property is charged a sliding fee when the fee should be flat;
- the person or property qualifies for an exemption or discount; or
- the property is wholly or in part outside the service area.

City of Maple Valley Residential and Regional Drainage Facilities

RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES

10281

FACILITY NAME	FACILITY FILE	FACILITY ADDRESS	DEDICATED KC TRACT? *	FACILITY TYPE **					
				P	T	V	I	O/W	C
BELMONT WOODS DIV 1	D92151	23840 - SE 247TH PL	Yes, Tract B. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y
BELMONT WOODS DIV 1	D92152	23841 - SE 245TH ST	Yes, Tract B. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y
BELMONT WOODS DIV 1	D92153	24446 - 234TH WAY SE	Yes, Tract E. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y
CEDAR BROOK DOWNS	D92055	21605 - SE 257TH PL	No, Right of Way and Easement.	N	N	Y	N	N	Y
CEDAR BROOK DOWNS	D92056	21607 - SE 257TH PL	Yes, Tract A. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y
CEDAR DOWNS # 1	D91334	21836 WITTE RD SE	No, Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y
CEDAR DOWNS # 2	D91341	21418 - SE 215TH PLACE	No, Easement.	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
CEDAR DOWNS # 3	D91513	25943 210TH AVE SE	No, Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N
CEDAR DOWNS # 4	D90364	21218 SE 252ND PL	No, Easement.	N	N	Y	N	N	N
CEDAR DOWNS # 7	D91315	25200 217TH PL SE	No, Easement and Right of Way.	N	Y	N	N	N	N
CHARLWOOD	D90551	27100 SE KENT-KANGLEY RD	Yes, Tract X. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y
DIAMOND HILLS	D91719	23017 - SE 283RD PL	Yes, Tract B. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	N
EASTWOOD FOREST.	D91994	21169 SE 280TH PLACE	Yes, Tract B. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y
EASTWOOD FOREST	D91995	28100 - 216TH AVE SE	Yes, Tract C. Also Right of Way and Easement.	Y	N	N	N	N	N
ELK RUN	D91629	22800 SE 272ND ST	Yes, Tract D. Also CB on Golf Course. No Easements.	N	N	N	N	N	N
FERNWOOD ESTATES DIV 2	D91943	26408 235 AVE SE	No, Tract C privately owned. Also Right of Way.	N	N	N	Y	N	N
FERNWOOD ESTATES DIV 2	D91944	26340 235 AVE SE	No, Tract D privately owned. Also Right of Way.	N	N	N	Y	N	N
FERNWOOD ESTATES DIV 2	D91945	26401 233 AVE SE	No, Tract E privately owned. Also Right of Way.	N	N	N	Y	N	N
FERNWOOD ESTATES DIV 2	D91946	26711 232 AVE SE	No, Tract F privately owned. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N
FOREST AT SPRINGHAVEN, THE	D90151	25148 - 238TH AVE SE	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	N	N	N	N	Y	N
FOREST AT SPRINGHAVEN, THE	D91491	25504 SE 253RD PL	Yes, Tract B. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N
FOREST AT SPRINGHAVEN, THE	D91492	25518 - SE 253RD PL	Yes, Tract C. Also Right of Way.	N	N	N	N	Y	N
FOREST CREEK	D92150	27809 - 212TH PL SE	Yes, Tract A. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N
HIGH WILDERNESS DIV 1	D92254	235th WAY SE & SE 251st PL.	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	N	Y	N	N	N	Y
HIGH WILDERNESS DIV 3 (2YR BOND)	D92311	232ND AVE SE & 233RD PL SE	No, Right of Way.	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y
HIGHLANDS AT LAKE WILDERNESS	D91908	SE 243 PL & 231 AVE SE	Yes, Tract A and F. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N
HIGHLANDS AT LAKE WILDERNESS	D91909	24331 228 AVE SE	No, Right of Way.	N	Y	N	Y	N	N
HIGHLANDS AT LAKE WILDERNESS	D91910	22813 SE 246 ST	No, Tract L privately owned. Also Easement and Right of Way.	N	N	Y	N	N	N
HIGHLANDS AT LAKE WILDERNESS	D91911	24339 228 AVE SE	Yes, Tract H. Also Easement.	Y	N	N	N	Y	N
HIGHLANDS AT LAKE WILDERNESS	D91912	230 PL SE & SE 250 ST	Yes, Tract J. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N

Exhibit Two**Estimated Annual Service Charge Billing and Revenue Collection Costs**

Cost Component	Cost per account	number of accounts	Cost (\$)
Annual billing charge	\$1.77 (per '97 fee)	3,319	5,874
System setup fee (first 2 years only)	.93	3,319	3,087
1% Revenue Collection fee* (based on estimated annual revenue of \$478,495)	N/A	N/A	4,784
TOTAL			\$13,745

*This fee is charged by the King County Department of Finance for revenue collection and disbursement.

City of Maple Valley Residential and Regional Drainage Facilities

FACILITY NAME	FACILITY FILE	FACILITY ADDRESS	DEDICATED KC TRACT? *	FACILITY TYPE **						
				P	T	V	I	O/W	C	
JACQUELINE MEADOWS	D92155	21801 SE 236 PL	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	
LAKE FOREST ESTATES	D91727	25320 236 CT SE	Yes, Tract K. Also Right of Way and Easement.	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	
LAKE FOREST ESTATES	D91728	23208 SE 253 PL	Yes, Tract C. Also Right of Way and Easement.	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	
LAKE WILDERNESS COUNTRY CLUB DIV 1	D91237	22227 SE 250 PL	Yes, Tract C. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	
LAKE WILDERNESS COUNTRY CLUB DIV 1	D91238	24935 LK WLDRNS C C DR. SE.	Yes, Tract D. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	
LAKE WILDERNESS COUNTRY CLUB DIV 1	D91239	250TH 224TH AVE SE	Yes, Tract C. Also Easement.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	
LAKE WILDERNESS COUNTRY CLUB DIV 2	D91360	25210 223RD AVE SE	Yes, Tract C. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
LAKE WILDERNESS COUNTRY CLUB DIV 3	D92028	LAKE WILD.DR SE & SE 255 PL	Yes, Tract A(no facility) and B. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
LAKE WILDERNESS COUNTRY CLUB DIV 5	D91585	22120 SE. 251 CT.	Yes, Tract A. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
LAKE WILDERNESS ESTATES	D91010	22012 SE 244TH PL	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
LAKE WILDERNESS ESTATES	D91011	22335 SE 244TH PL	Yes, Tract D. Also Right of Way and Easement.	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
MAPLE DOWNS	D91873	21420 SE 258 ST	Yes, Tract B. Also Right of Way and Easement.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
MAPLE DOWNS	D91874	25903 215 PL SE	Yes, Tract A. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
MAPLE VALLEY MEADOWS	D91696	21807 SE 239TH ST	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
PARKHAVEN PLACE (2 YR BOND)	D92338	SE 242ND ST & SE 242ND PL	Yes, Tract D. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	
PLEASANT ACRES DIV 2	D92117	23605 - SE 285TH ST	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
PLEASANT ACRES DIV 2	D92118	SE 288TH ST & 236TH AVE SE	Yes, Tract B. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
ROSEWOOD PARKE	D91919	23517 - SE 282ND PL	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way and Easement.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
ROSEWOOD PARKE	D91920	28137 232ND PL SE	Yes, Tract B. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
ROSEWOOD PARKE	D91921	28013 - 232ND PL SE	Yes, Tract C. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
SHADOW FIRS (2YR BOND)	D92063	236TH AVE SE & SE 285TH ST	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way and Easement.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
SPRINGHAVEN GLEN	D91926	25615 - 243 CT SE	Yes, Tract B. Also Easement and Right of Way.	N	Y	N	N	N	N	
SUMMIT ESTATES (2YR BOND)	D92303	23600 SE 267TH ST	Yes, Tract C. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	
VALLEY GREEN DIV 1	D92098	SE 242ND ST & 230TH AVE SE	Yes, Tract D. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
VALLEY GREEN DIV 2	D92161	23424 - SE 239TH CT	Yes, Tract B. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	
VALLEY GREEN DIV 2	D92162	23219 - SE 239TH CT	Yes, Tract C. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	
WILDERNESS DOWNS (2 YR BOND)	D92349	21200 SE 248th ST	Yes, Tract C. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	
WILDERNESS ESTATES	D92255	227 AVE SE	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	
WILDERNESS ESTATES	D92256	SE 264th ST.	Yes, Tract B. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	
WILDERNESS ESTATES	D92257	230th AVE SE	Yes, Tract C. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	
WILDERNESS GLEN	D92015	22787 SE 265 ST	Yes, Tract A. Also Easement and Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	

10281

City of Maple Valley Residential and Regional Drainage Facilities

FACILITY NAME	FACILITY FILE	FACILITY ADDRESS	DEDICATED KC TRACT? *	FACILITY TYPE **					
				P	T	V	I	O/W	C
WILDERNESS LANE (2 YR BOND)	D92334	22718 SE 266TH ST	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y
WILDERNESS PARK (2YR BOND)	D92232	21900 SE 249th PL	Yes, Tract A. Also Right of Way.	N	N	N	N	N	N
WILDERNESS RIDGE	D91130	21928 - SE 255TH PLACE	No, Easement.	N	Y	N	N	N	N
WILDERNESS RIDGE	D91131	21618 SE 255TH PLACE	No, Easement.	N	Y	N	N	N	N
WILDERNESS RIM ESTATES (2YR BOND)	D92262	26201 - 244TH AVE SE	Yes, Tract C. Also Right of Way.	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y
WILDERNESS VILLAGE ESTATES	D91425	21704 SE 237TH ST	No, Easement and Right of Way.	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y
WILDERNESS VILLAGE ESTATES	D91426	21701 SE 237TH ST	No, Easement and Right of Way.	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y

REGIONAL FACILITIES

FACILITY NAME	Project #	FACILITY LOCATION	DEDICATED KC TRACT? *	FACILITY DESCRIPTION
Lake Lucerne Outlet Improvement (located in Cherokee Bay Subdivision)	OB1555	Jenkins Creek Wetland #20 between 222nd Ave. SE and Witte Rd.	Yes, Lot 51 and partial Lot 38. Also Easement and some on now private property.	Culvert, drainage channel, and energy structure at outfall

* Facilities may be wholly located on King County-owned tracts, or may partially lie on drainage easements and/or road rights of way.

** KEY TO FACILITY TYPES: P=pond, T=tank, V=vault, I=infiltration, O/W= oil/water separator, C=conveyance

**INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN
KING COUNTY AND THE CITY OF MAPLE VALLEY
RELATING TO LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES**

This is an Interlocal Agreement between King County, a home rule charter county, a political subdivision of the State of Washington, hereinafter referred to as the "County", and the City of Maple Valley, a municipal corporation of the State of Washington, hereinafter referred to as the "City".

WHEREAS, a number of cities in King County contract with the County for the provision of law enforcement services within their city boundaries, and

WHEREAS, the County has adopted policies that support the development and continuation of these contracts to preserve the quality, depth and breadth of its law enforcement services, and

WHEREAS, the County and the contract cities recently completed negotiating a new interlocal agreement for 1996 and beyond which embodies the following principles adopted by County Council Motion 9540:

1. County law enforcement employees should feel responsibility toward and demonstrate responsiveness to residents and officials of cities with contracts for law enforcement services.
2. Each contract city should have the flexibility to determine the level and deployment of certain services and to identify service priorities, thereby controlling costs.
3. Cities should have the ability to choose unique police uniforms and markings for police vehicles assigned to the city.
4. County law enforcement employees should work cooperatively with communities within contract cities in a problem-solving mode to improve the safety and welfare of city residents and visitors.
5. The County should provide at a reasonable and predictable cost efficient, high quality, appropriate law enforcement services supported by technology that furthers the goals of the contract cities and the County.
6. The contracts and service agreements should maintain equity among the interests of contract city and unincorporated area residents.
7. The contracts should preserve to the extent practical the valuable law enforcement services provided by the King County Department of Public Safety while providing a high level of local service and decision-making.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to RCW 39.34, the County and the City hereby agree:

1. Law Enforcement Services. The County will make available to the City any of the law enforcement services listed in Appendix A, "King County Police Services", dated August 5, 1996, which is incorporated herein by reference:

- 1.1. Precinct/City Services. Precinct/city services consist of law enforcement and other related services provided by personnel assigned to a police precinct primarily for the benefit of the geographic areas within the boundaries of the precinct except as may be modified by Section 2. Precinct/city services include:
 - 1.1.1. Reactive patrol to enforce State law and City-adopted municipal criminal and traffic codes and to respond to residents' and business' calls for service;
 - 1.1.2. Proactive patrol to prevent and deter criminal activity;
 - 1.1.3. Traffic patrol to enforce applicable traffic codes;
 - 1.1.4. Precinct detectives to investigate local crimes such as burglary, vandalism and auto theft;
 - 1.1.5. Community service and community crime prevention officers;
 - 1.1.6. Drug Awareness Resistance Education (DARE) officers;
 - 1.1.7. Precinct command and support staff; and
 - 1.1.8. Police reserves to perform a variety of routine police patrol functions.
 - 1.1.9. For purposes of this agreement, precinct/city services shall be considered required or optional in accordance with Exhibit A, except that precinct command staff shall not be required if the City opts to provide its own precinct under Section 6.4.
- 1.2. Support Services. Support services consist of:
 - 1.2.1. Investigation services by officers assigned to a central criminal investigation unit investigating such crimes as major crimes, drug offenses, fraud and such reports as missing persons, vice, and major accidents. These officers are supported by crime scene analysis, crime laboratory, polygraph, identifications, and evidence control.
 - 1.2.2. Special operations services such as canine patrol, hostage negotiations, tactical unit, and bomb disposal; and
 - 1.2.3. Communications services, including call receiving, dispatch, and reports.
 - 1.2.4. For purposes of this agreement, precinct/city services shall be considered required or optional in accordance with Exhibit A, except that hostage

negotiation and bomb disposal may be provided by City officers under the city department model described herein.

1.3. Administrative Services. Administrative services include legal advisor, planning and statistics, subpoena control, training, weapons' permits, accounting, payroll, personnel, labor relations, media relations, fleet control, radio maintenance, purchasing, records, inspections/internal investigations, and other services provided by other county agencies in support of the King County Department of Public Safety. Such services do not include legal services of the King County Prosecuting Attorney relating to enforcement of municipal criminal and traffic codes or prosecutions arising thereunder.

1.3.1. For purposes of this agreement, administrative services shall be required, except as otherwise noted in Appendix A, "King County Police Services", which is incorporated herein by reference.

2. City Department, Shared Supervision and Flexible Services Models. Law enforcement services provided to the city under this agreement shall be available to the city under a city department model, a shared supervision model, or a flexible services model, provided that the City must select any service which is required in accordance with Exhibit A.

2.1. City Department Model. Under the city department model, the level, degree and type of precinct/city services and the number of positions assigned to those services shall be determined by the City in consultation with the King County Sheriff-Director or his/her designee.

2.1.1. Such positions shall be assigned to the City and shall be dedicated to work within the city limits, subject to responses to assist another jurisdiction or County police precinct according to mutually agreed-upon written criteria.

2.1.2. The number of such positions assigned to the City will remain constant. The City recognizes that the number of personnel may vary to the extent that positions are vacant or positions are filled but not available for assignment, including Phase I and Phase II recruits and personnel on long-term disability leave, vacation leave, sick leave or other leave. The number of the City's vacant positions and positions not available for assignment shall be proportionate to the total number of vacant positions and positions not available for assignment in the King County Department of Public Safety.

2.1.3. Support and administrative services shall be provided to the City at the level, degree and type as provided by the County in unincorporated King County, except as otherwise modified by Section 6.3.

- 2.1.4. Additional support services may be purchased by the City and assigned for the sole benefit of the City, provided they are optional services as defined in Exhibit A.
- 2.1.5. The City may choose to provide its own legal advisor for the purpose of providing advice to officers assigned to its city, provided that the legal advisor shall be available to the officers on a 24-hour per day basis.
- 2.2. Shared Supervision Model. Under the shared supervision model, the level, degree and type of precinct/city direct services (such as reactive patrol, precinct detectives and city administrative sergeants, for example) and the number of positions assigned to those services shall be determined by the City in consultation with the King County Sheriff-Director or his/her designee. Precinct command and supervision shall be shared by the County and the City.
 - 2.2.1. Such precinct/city direct services positions shall be assigned to the City and shall work within the city limits, subject to responses to assist another jurisdiction or County police precinct according to mutually agreed-upon written criteria.
 - 2.2.2. The number of such positions assigned to the City will remain constant. The City recognizes that the number of personnel may vary to the extent that positions are vacant or positions are filled but not available for assignment, including Phase I and Phase II recruits and personnel on long-term disability leave, vacation leave, sick leave or other leave. The number of the City's vacant positions and positions not available for assignment shall be proportionate to the total number of vacant positions and positions not available for assignment in the King County Department of Public Safety.
 - 2.2.3. Support and administrative services shall be provided to the City at the level, degree and type as provided by the County in unincorporated King County, except as otherwise modified by Section 6.3.
 - 2.2.4. Additional support services may be purchased by the City and assigned for the sole benefit of the City, provided they are optional services as defined in Exhibit A.
 - 2.2.5. The City may choose to provide its own legal advisor for the purpose of providing advice to officers assigned to its city, provided that the legal advisor shall be available to the officers on a 24-hour per day basis.
- 2.3. Flexible Services Model. Under the flexible services model, base level law enforcement services will be provided to the city in proportion to the City's share

of workload, unless the City enhances services as provided for herein or unless the City opts to provide its own precinct under Section 6.4.

2.3.1. Positions designated to provide precinct/city services to the City shall be dedicated to work within the precinct in which the City is located, subject to responses to assist another jurisdiction or County police precinct according to mutually agreed-upon written criteria.

2.3.2. Additional precinct/city services may be purchased at the discretion of the city and will be used in accordance with mutually agreed-upon protocols.

2.3.3. Additional support services may be purchased by the City for the sole benefit of the City, with the exception of any support service that is required in accordance with Exhibit A.

3. City Law Enforcement Services.

3.1. 1997 City Law Enforcement Services. Beginning August 31, 1997, the County agrees to provide to the city the level, degree and type of precinct/city and support services in accordance with Exhibit B, along with related administrative services.

3.2. Revisions to City Law Enforcement Services. In 1997 and thereafter, revisions to city law enforcement services shall be made in accordance with Section 4.

4. Compensation.

4.1. Development of Service Costs. The County shall develop service costs for each precinct/city, support, and administrative service provided by the King County Department of Public Safety. Service costs for 1997 are contained in Appendix A, "King County Police Services", August 5, 1996, which is incorporated herein by reference.

4.1.1. Service costs shall include, but not be limited to, salary, benefits and special pays, if any, for personnel providing the service, along with any associated clothing allowance, quartermaster, overtime, supplies, services, telephone, motor pool, lease cars, systems services, insurance, equipment and associated administrative costs. If not already included, costs shall include adjustments for cost-of-living and inflation.

4.1.2. Service costs shall not include the cost of services that are required by state law, provided only within unincorporated King County, or supported by a dedicated revenue source, and services excluded from cost allocation at the discretion of the County. For the purpose of the agreement, such services and their associated administrative costs, as listed in Appendix A, shall be considered non-chargeable.

- 4.1.3. Service costs shall reflect the deduction of revenues, as outlined in Appendix A.
- 4.2. Development of Unit Costs. The County shall develop unit costs for each precinct/city and support service based on service costs developed in accordance with Section 4.1. Unit costs and formulas are listed in Exhibit A.
- 4.3. Calculation of City's Estimated Contract Amount. Service costs and unit costs shall be the bases for calculating the City's estimated contract amount. Beginning on August 31, 1997, the City shall be charged for services on the basis of FTE's (full-time equivalents) or workload billing factors as outlined in Exhibit A.
- City's 1997 Estimated Contract Amount. The estimated contract amount for 1997 is shown in Exhibit B, attached. The County agrees to revise this amount in December, 1997, following the King County Council's adoption of the 1998 County budget, and provide the City by March 1, 1998 with a revised estimated contract amount, if lower than the amount shown in Exhibit B. For 1997 only, King County will provide the first 60 days of service under this contract at no cost to the City.
- 4.4. Mid-year Adjustment. Mid-year supplemental appropriations requested by the city will be reflected as adjustments in the current year estimated contract amount.
- 4.5. Billing. The estimated contract amount shall be billed monthly in 12 equal amounts. Payments shall be due within 30 days after invoicing by the County.
- 4.6. Annual Adjustment. Subject to the provisions of Section 4.9, beginning in May, 1997 and continuing each May thereafter, the estimated contract amount for the current year shall be adjusted based on the lower of the prior year's contract amount as adjusted or actual contract expenditures, including any contract costs recommended by the contract oversight committee established herein. Any one-time underexpenditures will not affect the calculation of allowable growth in unit costs pursuant to Section 4.9.
- 4.7. Revisions to City Law Enforcement Services and Contract Amount. By August 5 or the first working day thereafter, the County shall provide the City with an estimate of the subsequent year's unit costs and service data in the form of a revised Exhibit A and an estimate of the City's contract amount for the same level of service for the subsequent year in the form of a revised Exhibit B. By August 20 or the first working day thereafter, the City shall notify the County of any changes in service or model for the subsequent year. By September 10 or the first working day thereafter, the County shall provide the City with the estimated contract amount for the subsequent year based on the changes in service requested by the City, along with revisions to Exhibit B.

- 4.8. Limit on Annual Growth. The annual growth in unit costs shall not exceed 90% of the growth in the previous July to June Urban Wage and Clerical Workers Index for greater Seattle. Provided, however, any costs related to existing contractual obligations or labor contracts currently in negotiations, binding arbitration requirements, federal or state court mandates, federal or state law requirements, recommendations of the oversight committee which have a fiscal impact and are approved by the County or any other costs determined by the full oversight committee to be beyond the County's control, shall not be subject to this provision.

5. Decisions and Policy-Making Authorities. The County will provide the services identified in Exhibit B in accordance with the following:
 - 5.1. Operational Decisions and Policy-Making Authorities. The respective authorities of the City and the County to make operational decisions and develop and implement policies shall be governed by the guidelines contained in Exhibit C.
 - 5.2. Police Manager. The City may designate a county officer assigned to the City to act in the capacity of a police manager. The County agrees to work with the City to develop a list of duties and authorities for the police manager. Such duties and authorities shall include, but shall not be limited to, those listed in Exhibit D and shall be consistent with the guidelines contained in Exhibit C.

6. Special Provisions.
 - 6.1. Use of Non-Sworn Personnel. The City and the County intend to increase the use of non-sworn personnel, and the parties agree that the following functions and positions, among others, can be considered by the oversight committee for civilianization: parking enforcement; warrant service; court liaison; crime scene technician; evidence transport; background investigations; records management; crime prevention; accident scene traffic director; missing children services; lost property services; vacation house checks; business watch; permitting; fingerprinting; abandoned vehicle tagging; park patrol; and prisoner transport.
 - 6.2. City Purchases. As an alternative to using the County's routine supplies and equipment, the City may purchase routine supplies or purchase or lease any equipment for its own use, provided that the equipment can be integrated into applicable County systems. Routine supplies and equipment includes, but is not limited to, paper, copying machines, cellular telephones, office furnishings, laptop computers and vehicles. In the event the City chooses to purchase and/or lease any of these or similar items for its own use, the County will delete from the City's contract amount the full county charge for any items that otherwise would have been provided by the County.

- 6.3. Hourly Charges for Optional Support Services. To the extent the City does not select one or more support service designated as optional, the County will not charge the City for those services. In the event any of these services are deployed at the request of the City's chief or his/her designee with the appropriate authority, the City agrees to pay the County for the service based on the hourly charges contained in Exhibit E. The County intends to apply these charges to other jurisdictions, regardless of whether the jurisdiction has a contract with the County for law enforcement services.
- 6.4. City Police Facility. The City may purchase or lease its own police facility and provide for the maintenance of such facility. In the event the City chooses to provide for its own police facility, precinct support staff and maintenance, the County will delete from the City's contract costs the portion of county charges for precinct facilities, precinct support staff and maintenance that otherwise would have been provided by the County. In the event a city under the city department model chooses to provide its own facility, such facility shall constitute a precinct for the geographical area of the city, as it pertains to Section 1.1.
- 6.5. Refund of Accrued Replacement Reserves. If the City has reimbursed the County for the initial purchase of any equipment prior to this agreement, or if the City has purchased equipment under the provisions of Section 6.2, and if the City chooses to terminate this agreement, the County agrees to refund to the City any accrued replacement reserves, and any accrued market rate interest, on such equipment, including vehicles, and transfer ownership of such equipment from the County to the City.
- 6.6. Exclusion of Replacement Charges for 800 MHz Radios. At the option of the City, the County agrees not to charge the City for replacement of the 800 MHz mobile and portable radios used by the officers currently assigned to the city, provided that the City agrees to pay for the full costs of replacing the radios at the end of their estimated useful life of ten (10) years or when a radio is determined by the County to no longer meet the performance standards of the County. If the City chooses to terminate this agreement prior to the expiration of the useful life of the radios, the County agrees to transfer ownership of the radios from the County to the City and the City agrees to assume responsibility for any service costs associated with continued use of the radios on the regional 800 MHz radio system, including the cost of subscriber access, reprogramming and maintenance. The cost of additional radios shall be borne by the City.
- 6.7. Observation of Labor Negotiations. The City may participate with other cities that contract with the County for law enforcement services to select no more than two (2) representatives to observe labor negotiations between the County and the collective bargaining units representing the employees of the King County Department of Public Safety, provided that such observers adhere to rules established by the County and the bargaining units for the negotiations.

- 6.8. Stabilization of Personnel. The County intends to encourage during the term of this agreement the stabilization of County personnel either assigned to the City or to patrol districts incorporating the city. The King County Sheriff-Director or his/her designee shall confer with the City's chief executive officer or his/her designee regarding the initial assignment of personnel to the City or to patrol districts incorporating the City and thereafter shall confer with the City's chief executive officer or his/her designee regarding any proposed changes in assignment or promotions of officers assigned to the City or to patrol districts incorporating the city. Nothing in this agreement shall prevent individuals from seeking promotional opportunities or receiving a promotion.
- 6.9. Assignment of Detectives. At the request of the City and to the extent feasible, as determined by the King County Sheriff-Director in consultation with the city members of the contract oversight committee, the County shall assign to the precinct incorporating the City detectives from the criminal investigation division, with the exception of detectives in the major crimes unit of the division.
- 6.10. Additional Training. The City may provide training for City precinct detectives to perform criminal investigations for any optional criminal investigation services. The cost of any such training shall be borne by the City.
- 6.11. Cost Effect of Service Decisions. An individual city's costs shall not be raised as a result of another city's decision regarding the level or make-up of services. The County reserves the right to eliminate services to fulfill this provision.
- 6.12. Requests for Support Services. The City chief or his/her designee shall have the authority to request any support service provided to the City. If such request is denied, the commander in charge of the support service shall review the decision and provide a report to the City's chief-executive officer regarding the final determination.
- 6.13. City Identification. The City may select unique insignia and/or colors for uniforms and/or vehicles used by the officers assigned to the city, provided that some form of the King County logo is retained on the uniforms and vehicles. To the extent the annual quartermaster allowance exceeds the costs of routine replacement of uniform items, the allowance shall be applied to the costs of adding the insignia to the uniforms or replacing the uniforms with alternative uniforms. Additional costs related to the uniforms and the cost of converting the vehicles shall be borne by the City.

7. Reporting.

- 7.1. Reporting Districts. Reporting districts coterminous with the City boundaries will be maintained to enable accurate data collection on law enforcement services provided and criminal activity.
- 7.2. Notification of Criminal Activity. The police manager, if designated, or the precinct commander will notify the City in the event of a significant criminal occurrence within the City.
- 7.3. Quarterly Reports. The County will report quarterly on criminal activity-and on law enforcement services provided, by major category of service as listed in Exhibit B.

8. Personnel and Equipment. The County is acting hereunder as an independent contractor so that:

- 8.1. Control of Personnel. Control of personnel, standards of performance, discipline and all other aspects of performance shall be governed entirely by the County;
- 8.2. Status of Employees. All persons rendering service hereunder shall be for all purposes employees of the County, except that the City may hire non-commissioned city employees to perform certain functions in conjunction with County police personnel.
- 8.3. Liabilities. All liabilities for salaries, wages, any other compensation, injury, sickness or liability to the public for negligent acts or omissions arising from performance of the law enforcement services by the County hereunder shall be that of the County.
- 8.4. Provision of Personnel. The County shall furnish all personnel and such resources and material deemed by the County as necessary to provide the level of law enforcement service herein described.
- 8.5. Municipal Violations. County police personnel shall cite violations of municipal ordinances into the City's municipal court.

9. City Responsibilities. In support of the County providing the services described in Exhibit B, the City promises to:

- 9.1. Municipal Police Authority. Confer hereby municipal police authority on such County officers as might be engaged hereunder in enforcing City ordinances within City boundaries, for the purposes of carrying out this agreement.

- 9.2. Municipal Criminal Code. Adopt a criminal municipal code by March 1, 1998, which incorporates, at a minimum, any portion of the Washington criminal code defining a crime or crimes, which falls within the jurisdiction of the district or municipal court. This includes all misdemeanors and gross misdemeanors. Provided, that if the City fails to adopt, chooses not to adopt, or repeals such criminal municipal code, the City shall be responsible for reimbursing the County for all expenses associated with prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, and incarceration in any criminal case involving a crime which could have been included within a City municipal code.
- 9.3. Special Supplies. Supply at its own cost and expense any special supplies, stationary, notices, forms and the like where such must be issued in the name of the City.
10. Duration. This agreement is effective upon authorization and signature by both parties. The contract period shall continue for a period of three years, from August 31, 1997 through August 31, 2000. Thereafter, the agreement shall renew automatically from year to year unless either party initiates the termination process outlined herein.
11. Termination Process. Either party may initiate a process to terminate this agreement as follows:
 - 11.1. Written Notice. The party desiring to terminate the agreement shall provide written notice to the other party, provided that such notice may not be provided prior to February 28, 1999.
 - 11.2. Transition Plan. Upon receipt of such notice, an 18-month transition period shall begin and the parties shall commence work on and complete within at least 120 days a mutually agreed-upon transition plan providing for an orderly transition of responsibilities from the County to the City. The transition plan shall identify and address any personnel, capital equipment, workload and any other issues related to the transition. Each party shall bear its respective costs in developing the transition plan.
12. Indemnification.
 - 12.1. City Held Harmless. The County shall indemnify and hold harmless the City and its officers, agents, and employees, or any of them from any and all claims, actions, suits, liability, loss, costs, expenses, and damages of any nature whatsoever, by any reason of or arising out of any negligent act or omission of the County, its officers, agents, and employees, or any of them relating to or arising out of performing services pursuant to this agreement. In the event that any such suit based upon such a claim, action, loss, or damages is brought against the City, the County shall defend the same at its sole cost and expense; provided that the City reserves the right to participate in said suit if any principle of governmental or public law is involved; and

if final judgment in said suit be rendered against the City, and its officers, agents, and employees, or any of them, or jointly against the City and the County and their respective officers, agents, and employees, or any of them, the County shall satisfy the same.

- 12.2. County Held Harmless. The City shall indemnify and hold harmless the County and its officers, agents, and employees, or any of them from any and all claims, actions, suits, liability, loss, costs, expenses, and damages of any nature whatsoever, by any reason of or arising out of any negligent act or omission of the City, its officers, agents, and employees, or any of them relating to or arising out of performing services pursuant to this agreement. In the event that any suit based upon such a claim, action, loss, or damages is brought against the County, the City shall defend the same at its sole cost and expense; provided that the County reserves the right to participate in said suit if any principle of governmental or public law is involved; and if final judgment be rendered against the County, and its officers, agents, and employees, or any of them, or jointly against the County and the City and their respective officers, agents, and employees, or any of them, the City shall satisfy the same.
- 12.3. Liability Related to City Ordinances, Policies, Rules and Regulations. In executing this agreement, the County does not assume liability or responsibility for or in any way release the City from any liability or responsibility which arises in whole or in part from the existence or effect of City ordinances, policies, rules or regulations. If any cause, claim, suit, action or administrative proceeding is commenced in which the enforceability and/or validity of any such City ordinance, policy, rule or regulation is at issue, the City shall defend the same at its sole expense and, if judgment is entered or damages are awarded against the City, the County, or both, the City shall satisfy the same, including all chargeable costs and reasonable attorney's fees.
- 12.4. Waiver Under Washington Industrial Insurance Act. The foregoing indemnity is specifically intended to constitute a waiver of each party's immunity under Washington's Industrial Insurance Act, RCW Title 51, as respects the other party only, and only to the extent necessary to provide the indemnified party with a full and complete indemnity of claims made by the indemnitor's employees. The parties acknowledge that these provisions were specifically negotiated and agreed upon by them.
13. Non-discrimination. The County and the City certify that they are Equal Opportunity Employers. The County has developed and implemented Affirmative Action Programs in accordance with the guidelines in Revised Order 4 of the United States Department of Labor. The City will develop and implement Affirmative Action Programs which meet the applicable federal standards.

14. Audits and Inspections. The records and documents with respect to all matters covered by this agreement shall be subject to inspection, review or audit by the County or City during the term of this contract and three (3) years after termination.
15. Amendments. The agreement may be amended at any time by mutual written agreement of the parties. Any executed amendment to the City's agreement shall be made available to other cities that contract with the County for law enforcement services, subject to circumstances specific to the individual cities.
16. Contract Administration.
 - 16.1. Contract Administrators. The chief executive officer of the City and the police manager, if designated, or the precinct commander shall serve as contract administrators to review contract performance and resolve problems. The contract administrators will meet at least quarterly with either party authorized to call additional meetings with ten days written notice to the other.
 - 16.2. Referral of Unresolved Problems. The chief executive officer of the City may refer any problem which cannot be resolved to the King County Sheriff-Director.
17. Contract Oversight.
 - 17.1. Police Services Contract Oversight Committee. The City and the County agree to establish a police services contract oversight committee consisting of the contract cities' chief executive officers, or their designees, of the cities that contract with the County for law enforcement services and the King County Sheriff-Director, one person designated by the County Executive and one person designated by the chair of the King County Council's Law, Justice and Human Services Committee, or its successor.
 - 17.2. Scope of Committee. The committee shall meet at least bi-monthly to ensure the parties comply with the provisions of this agreement, including the administration of the agreement and the management and delivery of police services under the agreement.
 - 17.2.1. In addition, the committee shall establish performance measurements, standards, and benchmarks for evaluating the quality of the County's police services. The County shall work with the City, if desired, to develop a range of options by December 31, 1995, or a later mutually agreed-upon date.
 - 17.2.2. The city members may make recommendations on any issue affecting contract costs and conditions, such as the budget for the King County Department of Public Safety, personnel recruitment, training and

standards, and collective bargaining issues. These recommendations may reflect approval or disapproval of any County proposal relating to these issues and shall be submitted to the county executive, county council and/or city council as appropriate. The County shall provide a written report on the outcome of these recommendations.

- 18. Entire Agreement/Waiver of Default. The parties agree that this agreement is the complete expression of the terms hereto and any oral or written representations or understandings not incorporated herein are excluded. Both parties recognize that time is of the essence in the performance of the provisions of this agreement. Waiver of any default shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any subsequent default. Waiver or breach of any provision of the agreement shall not be deemed to be waiver of any other or subsequent breach and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of the agreement unless stated to be such through written approval by the County, which shall be attached to the original agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this agreement.

KING COUNTY

CITY OF MAPLE VALLEY

King County Executive

City Manager

Approved as to Form

Approved as to Form

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
for NORM MALENG
King County Prosecuting Attorney

City Attorney

1997 Unit Costs **10281** Exhibit A Part One

Precinct Services		Flex			City		
		Adj. FTE	Amount	Flex FTE Cost	FTEs	Amount	City FTE Cost
Captain - City Chief	O	2.00	\$ 246,948	\$ 123,474	2.00	\$ 241,732	\$ 120,866
Captain- Precinct Operations	R/O	8.00	\$ 983,520	\$ 122,940	8.00	\$ 962,656	\$ 120,332
Community Crime Prevention Unit	O	2.00	\$ 188,583	\$ 94,292	2.00	\$ 183,367	\$ 91,684
Community Service Officers	O	11.00	\$ 738,907	\$ 67,173	11.00	\$ 710,219	\$ 64,565
DARE	O	13.00	\$ 1,210,425	\$ 93,110	13.00	\$ 1,176,521	\$ 90,502
Evidence and Supply Tech		na	na	na	1.00	\$ 59,649	\$ 59,649
Major - City Chief	O	4.00	\$ 513,717	\$ 128,429	4.00	\$ 503,285	\$ 125,821
Major - Pct Commander	R/O	4.00	\$ 513,717	\$ 128,429	4.00	\$ 503,285	\$ 125,821
Motorcycle - precinct based					8.00	\$ 809,466	\$ 101,183
Office Tech I		na	na	na	1.00	\$ 54,700	\$ 54,700
Office Tech II		na	na	na	1.00	\$ 57,477	\$ 57,477
Office Tech III		na	na	na	1.00	\$ 62,396	\$ 62,396
Precinct Crime Analysis	O	4.00	\$ 384,916	\$ 96,229	4.00	\$ 374,484	\$ 93,621
Precinct Detectives	R	32.00	\$ 3,529,846	\$ 110,308	32.00	\$ 2,943,231	\$ 91,976
Precinct Det./Proactive Sgt.	R	na	na	na	1.00	\$ 98,024	\$ 98,024
Precinct Pro-Active	O	29.00	\$ 3,136,384	\$ 108,151	29.00	\$ 2,595,336	\$ 89,494
Reactive Patrol	R	272.00	\$ 25,665,850	\$ 94,360	272.00	\$ 24,956,479	\$ 91,752
Reactive Patrol/City Admin. Sgts	R	37.00	\$ 3,894,755	\$ 105,264	37.00	\$ 3,798,260	\$ 102,656
		Flex			City		
Support Services		Adj. FTE	Amount	Flex FTE Cost	FTEs	Amount	City FTE Cost
Air Support	O	2.00	\$ 478,868	\$ 239,434	2.00	\$ 478,868	\$ 239,434
Asset Forfeiture Unit	O	4.00	\$ 332,766	\$ 83,192	1.00	\$ 332,766	\$ 332,766
Bomb Disposal Unit	R/O	1.00	\$ 150,894	\$ 150,894	1.00	\$ 150,894	\$ 150,894
Canine (Special Ops)	R/O	9.00	\$ 1,070,096	\$ 118,900	9.00	\$ 1,070,096	\$ 118,900
Communications-911	R	71.00	\$ 5,877,905	\$ 82,787	71.00	\$ 5,877,905	\$ 82,787
Crimestoppers	O	1.00	\$ 101,949	\$ 101,949	1.00	\$ 101,949	\$ 101,949
Drug Enforcement Unit	O	9.00	\$ 992,140	\$ 110,238	9.00	\$ 992,140	\$ 110,238
DWI	O	4.00	\$ 496,138	\$ 124,035	4.00	\$ 496,138	\$ 124,035
Fraud, Forgery, Organized Crime	O	7.00	\$ 829,867	\$ 118,552	7.00	\$ 829,867	\$ 118,552
General Traffic	O	4.00	\$ 486,746	\$ 121,687	4.00	\$ 486,746	\$ 121,687
Hostage Negotiation	R/O	0.05	\$ 5,528	\$ 110,560	0.05	\$ 5,528	\$ 110,560
Major Crimes Detectives	R	31.00	\$ 3,734,888	\$ 120,480	31.00	\$ 3,734,888	\$ 120,480
Marine Patrol	O	7.00	\$ 731,203	\$ 104,458	7.00	\$ 731,203	\$ 104,458
MARR Unit	R	6.00	\$ 390,930	\$ 65,155	6.00	\$ 390,930	\$ 65,155
Motorcycle	O	8.00	\$ 976,940	\$ 122,118	8.00	\$ 976,940	\$ 122,118
Tactical Unit	R/O	1.50	\$ 265,452	\$ 176,968	1.50	\$ 265,452	\$ 176,968
Vice	O	1.20	\$ 133,898	\$ 111,582	1.20	\$ 133,898	\$ 111,582
Gambling	O	0.80	\$ 89,265	\$ 111,582	0.80	\$ 89,265	\$ 111,582

	Precinct 2	Precinct 3	Precinct 4
Square Feet	10,080	11,618	11,443
Precinct Occupancy Charge	82,817	71,590	82,264
Precinct Maintenance Charge	81,635	94,091	92,674
Total Precinct Facilities & Maintenance Charge	164,452	165,681	174,937

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	I	J	K
1									
2			City Model					Flex Model	
3	Title	Billing Factor	Amount	Cost	Billing Factor	Amount	Flex Service Cost	FTE Equiv.	
4	Carine (city)	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	NA		NA	NA	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E
5	Captain - City Chief	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	FTE		FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	% of Pct. DCFS from Column N from Column N * No. of Pct. Ops. Captis * FTE cost from Costs Column E
6	Captain- Pct. Operations	% FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% Pct. DCFS		% of Pct. CCPU workload from Column M * No. of Pct. CCPU officers * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	% of Pct. DCFS from Column O * No. of Pct. Flex CPOs * FTE cost from Costs Column E
7	Community Crime Prevention Unit	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% Pct. Activity		FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	% of Pct. Flex DCFS from Column O * No. of Pct. Flex CPOs * FTE cost from Costs Column E
8	Community Policing Specialists	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% Pct. DCFS		FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E
9	Community Service Officers	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% FTE		FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E
10	DARE	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% FTE		FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E
11	Evidence and Supply Tech	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% FTE		NA	NA	NA
12	Pct. Facilities and Maintenance	% Pct. FTE	E26/M24	% of Precinct FTE amount from Column E * Pct. F&M cost from Costs Row 46	% Pct. DCFS		% of Pct. DCFS from Column N * cost from Costs Line 46	NA	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E
13	Major - City Chief	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	FTE		% FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	% of Pct. DCFS from Column N * cost from Costs Column E
14	Major - Pct. Commander	% FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% Pct. DCFS		% of Pct. DCFS from Column N * cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	% of Pct. DCFS from Column N * cost from Costs Column E
15	Office Tech I	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H			NA	NA	NA
16	Office Tech II	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H			NA	NA	NA
17	Office Tech III	FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H			NA	NA	NA
18	Pct. Crime Analysis	% FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% Pct. DCFS		% of Pct. DCFS from Column N * No. of Pct. Crime Anals * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E	% of Pct. DCFS from Column N * No. of Pct. Crime Anals * FTE cost from Costs Column E

10281

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	I	J	K
19	Pct. Detectives			FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% Pct. Cases		% of workload from Column M * No. of Pct. Detectives * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E
20	Pct. Detective Sgt.			FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	NA		NA	NA
21	Pct. Pro-Active			FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	% FTE		FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E
22	Reactive Patrol (flex)			NA		NA	% Pct. DCFS		% of Pct. Flex DCFS from Column O * Pct. flex patrol % of patrol force * cost from Costs Column D	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E
23	Reactive Patrol (city)			FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	FTE		FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E
24	Reactive Patrol Sgts. (flex)			NA		NA	% Pct. DCFS		% of Pct. DCFS from Column N * Pct. patrol Sgts. % of total patrol Sgts. * cost from Costs Column D	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E
25	Reactive Patrol Sgts. (city)			FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H	FTE		FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column E	Cost from Column J / FTE cost from Costs Column E
26					SUM(E4:E25)-E12				SUM(I4:I25)	SUM(K4:K25)
27					Totals					
28	Support Services									
29	Title			Billing Factor	Amount	City Model Cost			Flex model Cost	FTE Equiv.
30	Air Support			% DCFS		% DCFS from Column P * Cost from Costs Column G			% DCFS from Column P * Cost from Costs Column G	J30/Costs IE23
31	Bomb Disposal Unit			% Incidents		% Incidents from Column P * cost from Costs Column G			% Incidents from Column P * cost from Costs Column G	J31/Costs IE24
32	Canine			% Canine Details		% of Incidents from Column P * cost from Costs Column G			% of Incidents from Column P * cost from Costs Column G	J32/Costs IE25
33	Communications-911			% DCFS		% DCFS from Column P * Cost from Costs Column G			% DCFS from Column P * Cost from Costs Column G	J33/Costs IE27
34	Crimestoppers			% Total Crimes		% Total Crimes from Column P * cost from Costs Column G			% Total Crimes from Column P * cost from Costs Column G	J34/Costs IE28
35	Drug Enforcement Unit			% Part I Crimes		% Part I Crimes from Column P * Cost from Costs Column G			% Part I Crimes from Column P * Cost from Costs Column G	J35/Costs IE29
36	DWI			FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Costs Column H			FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Costs Column H	J36/Costs IE30
37	Fraud, Forgery, Organized Crime			FTE or % Caseload		% of total unit caseload from Column P * cost from Costs Column G			% of total unit caseload from Column P * cost from Costs Column G	J37/Costs IE31

10281

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	I	J	K
38	Gang Unit Detectives		% Caseload		% of total unit caseload from from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G			% of total unit caseload from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G	J38/Cost1E32
39	General Traffic		FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Cost1; Column H			FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Cost1; Column H	J39/Cost1E33
40	Hostage Negotiation		% Incidents		% incidents from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G			% incidents from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G	J40/Cost1E34
41	Laptop and Criminal Investigations Computers		TBD		No charges in 1996 model. Formula for distributing future costs to be determined.			No charges in 1996 model. Formula for distributing future costs to be determined.	
42	Major Crimes Detectives		% Part I Major Crimes		% Part I Major Crimes from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G			% Part I Major Crimes from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G	J42/Cost1E36
43	Marine Patrol		NA		Formula for distributing costs to be determined.			Formula for distributing costs to be determined.	J43/Cost1E37
44	MARR Unit		TBD		% of MARR unit costs from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G			% of MARR unit costs from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G	J44/Cost1E38
45	Motorcycle		FTE		FTE amount from Column E * FTE cost from Cost1; Column H			FTE amount from Column I * FTE cost from Cost1; Column H	J45/Cost1E39
46	Tactical Unit		% of Incidents		% incidents from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G			% incidents from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G	J46/Cost1E40
47	Vice		% Unit Arrests		% Vice Unit arrests from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G			% Vice Unit arrests from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G	J47/Cost1E41
48	Gambling		% Gambling Licenses		% Licensed gambling establishments from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G			% Licensed gambling establishments from Column P * cost from Cost1; Column G	J48/Cost1E42
49	City Model FTEs & Equiv.		E26+K49	Support Cost	SUM(F30:F48)				SUM(K30:K48)
50	Flex Model FTE Equiv.		K26+K49	Total Cost	F49+F26				
51									
52									
53									
54									
55									
56									
57									
58									

10281

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	I	J	K
59										
60										
61										
62										
63										
64										
65										
66										
67										
68										
69										
70										
71										
72										
73										
74										
75										
76										
77										
78										
79										
80										
81										
82										
83										
84										
85										
86										
87										
88										
89										
90										
91										
92										
93										
94										
95										
96										
97										
98										
99										
100										

10281

	L	M	N	O	P
1	Workload Indicators - 1994	City	% Prec	% Pct. Flex	%Total
2	Dispatched Calls	Number of Calls from Workload Column B	% of Total Precinct DCFS from Workload column C	% of Pct. Flexible Patrol areas DCFS from Workload column D	% of Total DPS DCFS from Workload column E
3	Pct Detective Caseload		City's % of Pct. detective caseload		
4	Comm. Crime Prev. Cald.		City's % of Pct. crime prevention caseload		N4*WorkloadI158
5	Part 1 Crimes	Number of P1 Crimes from WorkloadI column B			WorkloadID42
6	Part 2 Crimes	Number of P2 Crimes from WorkloadI column B			
7	Total Crimes	SUM(QM5:M6)			WorkloadIF42
8	Part 1 Major Crimes	Number of P1 crimes of type handled by Major Crimes Unit from WorkloadI Workload Indicators table			% of P1 crimes of type handled by Major Crimes Unit from WorkloadI Workload Indicators table
9	Bomb Disposal Incidents	WorkloadIW3			WorkloadIW3/WorkloadIAG3
10	Canine Details	WorkloadIW4			WorkloadIW4/WorkloadIAG4
11	FFOC Caseload	WorkloadIW5			WorkloadIW5/WorkloadIAG5
12	Gang Unit Caseload	WorkloadIW6			WorkloadIW6/WorkloadIAG6
13	Hostage Negotiation Incidents	WorkloadIW7			WorkloadIW7/WorkloadIAG7
14	Tactical Unit Incidents	WorkloadIW9			WorkloadIW9/WorkloadIAG9
15	Vice Unit Arrests	WorkloadIW10			WorkloadIW10/WorkloadIAG10
16	Licensed Gambling Establishments	WorkloadIW11			WorkloadIW11/WorkloadIAG11
17	Precinct CPO Flex	WorkloadID55			
18	Precinct Crime Analysis	WorkloadID58			

10281

	L	M	N	O	P
19	Precinct Detectives	WorkloadID61			
20	Pct. Patrol Flex %	WorkloadI173			
21	React Patrol Sgts. %	WorkloadI168			
22	Captain Precinct Ops	WorkloadID54			
23	Pct. Facilities and Maintenance cost	CostsID46			
24	Precinct Sworn Staff	WorkloadID579			
25	Precinct CCPU Staff	WorkloadID59			
26	MARR Unit	TBD			TBD
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					

10281

10281

	L	M	N	O	P
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					
48					
49					
50					
51					
52					
53					
54					
55					
56					
57					
58					

	L	M	N	O	P
59					
60					
61					
62					
63					
64					
65					
66					
67					
68					
69					
70					
71					
72					
73					
74					
75					
76					
77					
78					
79					
80					
81					
82					
83					
84					
85					
86					
87					
88					
89					
90					
91					
92					
93					
94					
95					
96					
97					
98					
99					
100					

10281

	% Precinct	% Precinct	% Total
	Flex	Flex	
95 DCFS			
Precinct 2	43,908	100.00%	26.22%
Woodinville	2,537	5.76%	1.51%
Skykomish	38	0.09%	0.00%
North Bend	1,347	3.07%	0.80%
Unincorporated Dedicated	11,292	25.72%	6.74%
Unincorporated Flex	28,704	65.37%	17.14%
Shoreline (inc. in uninc.)	14,164	32.26%	8.46%
Woodinville % excluding Shoreline	36,416	100.00%	21.75%
Precinct 3	43	0.12%	0.03%
Beaux Arts Village	1,034	2.84%	0.62%
Newcastle	35,339	97.04%	21.10%
Unincorporated	47,547	100.00%	28.40%
Precinct 4	12,014	25.27%	7.17%
SeaTac	13,683	28.78%	8.17%
Burien	14,969	31.48%	8.94%
Unincorporated Flex	2,234	4.70%	1.33%
Skyway	21,880	100.00%	23.63%
Vashon	39,574	100.00%	17.71%
uninc	29,655	74.94%	74.94%
Precinct 5	9,919	25.06%	5.92%
Federal Way			
Unincorporated			

89%	15,877	shoreline % share of districts A1-A4								
A1	4,348	F1	1,906	K1	5,668	J1	5,454			Gr. Toll 167,445
A2	3,597	F2	2,983	K2	2,983	J2	2,273			
A3	4,741	F3	6,525	K6	967	J3	2,192			
A4	3,191	F4	5,081	K7	4,525	O1	4,738			
A5	2,990	F5	5,538	K8	4,647	O2	5,056			
A6	4,793	F6	4,909	K9	40	O3	2,884			
B1	2,861	F7	2,100	K11	786	O4	5,763			
B2	2,183	F8	4,105	L1	1,900	O5	2,401			
B3	1,958	F9	2,052	L2	2,615	O6	4,888			
B4	2,725	F44	6	L3	3,101	O7	3,870			
B5	4,211	F55	116	L4	4,398	O8	55			
C1	1,347	F77	-	N1	3,302					
C2	2,176	F99	1	N2	2,439					
C7	134	H2	1,034	N3	3,624					
C9	38			N4	2,583					
C22	88			N5	1,735					
W1	2,527			V1	2,234					
Totals	43,908	36,416		47,547		39,574				

10281

	Part 1 Crimes		Part 2 Crimes		Part 1 Crimes %		Total Crimes		Total Crimes %	
	Crimes	%	Crimes	%	Crimes	%	Crimes	%	Crimes	%
Precinct 2	7,514	23.62%	7,486	23.62%	15,000	23.62%	15,000	23.62%	15,000	23.62%
Shoreline	2,658	8.33%	2,504	8.33%	5,162	8.33%	5,162	8.33%	5,162	8.33%
Woodinville	435	1.37%	377	1.37%	812	1.37%	812	1.37%	812	1.37%
Slykholmish	12	0.04%	10	0.04%	22	0.04%	22	0.04%	22	0.04%
North Bend	195	0.61%	264	0.61%	459	0.79%	459	0.79%	459	0.79%
Unincorporated	4,214	13.25%	4,331	13.25%	8,545	14.79%	8,545	14.79%	8,545	14.79%
Precinct 3	6,895	21.67%	5,857	21.67%	12,752	21.67%	12,752	21.67%	12,752	21.67%
Beaux Arts Village	4	0.01%	9	0.01%	13	0.02%	13	0.02%	13	0.02%
Newcastle	135	0.42%	124,00	0.42%	259	0.45%	259	0.45%	259	0.45%
Unincorporated	6,756	21.24%	5,724	21.24%	12,480	21.60%	12,480	21.60%	12,480	21.60%
Precinct 4	8,982	28.23%	7,062	28.23%	16,044	28.23%	16,044	28.23%	16,044	28.23%
SeaTac	2,295	7.21%	1,162	7.21%	3,457	5.98%	3,457	5.98%	3,457	5.98%
Burien	2,785	8.75%	2,465	8.75%	5,250	9.08%	5,250	9.08%	5,250	9.08%
Skyway			557		557		557		557	
Unincorporated	3,902	12.27%	2,878	12.27%	6,780	11.73%	6,780	11.73%	6,780	11.73%
Precinct 5	8,423	26.48%	5,571	26.48%	13,994	26.48%	13,994	26.48%	13,994	26.48%
Federal Way	6,430	20.27%	4,237	20.27%	10,667	18.49%	10,667	18.49%	10,667	18.49%
Unincorporated	1,973	6.20%	1,334	6.20%	3,307	5.72%	3,307	5.72%	3,307	5.72%
TOTAL	31,814	100.00%	25,976	100.00%	57,790	100.00%	57,790	100.00%	57,790	100.00%

	Part 1 Crimes	Part 2 Crimes	Part 1 Crimes	Part 2 Crimes	Part 1 Crimes	Part 2 Crimes	Part 1 Crimes	Part 2 Crimes	Part 1 Crimes	Part 2 Crimes
A1	835	672	F1	335	305	K1	995	833	J1	1,050
A2	543	656	F2	478	467	K11	124	113	J2	462
A3	929	888	F3	1,311	1,046	K2	605	479	J3	435
A4	652	591	F4	930	770	K6	244	135	O1	814
A5	557	493	F5	1,239	834	K7	774	557	O2	1,233
A6	735	816	F6	988	929	K8	799	578	O3	1,074
B1*	439	472	F7	349	392	K9	3	2	O4	1,290
B2*	269	344	F8	744	626	L1	339	271	O5	515
B3	288	430	F9	365	315	L2	511	411	O6	818
B4	441	499	F44	4	3	L3	654	478	O7	706
B5	582	578	F55	13	37	L4	791	661		26
C1	195	264	F77	0	0	N1	565	488		18
C2	321	347	F99	0	0	N2	523	350		
C7	145	32	H2	135	124	N3	858	561		
C9	12	10	R11	4	9	N4	522	405		
C22	116	17				N5	317	271		
W1*	435	377				N6	358	469		
Total	15,000	7,486	12,752	6,895	5,857	16,044	8,982	7,062	13,994	8,423

10281

1996 Precinct Staff Allocation						1995 Workload and Staffing					
	Precinct 2	Precinct 3	Precinct 4	Precinct 5	Totals	P2 %	P3 %	P4 %	P5 %	Tot %	
Major	1	1	1	1	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	100.0%	
Captain - City Chief	1				2	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Captains - Precinct Ops	2	2		2	8	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	100.0%	
CPOs Flex					0						
CPOs City	3				3	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
CPOs County (storefront officers)	3	2			8	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	0.0%	100.0%	
Crime Analysis	1	1		1	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	100.0%	
Crime Prevention		1			2	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
DARE	3	3		3	12	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	100.0%	
Detectives	6	7		11	34	17.6%	20.6%	32.4%	29.4%	100.0%	
Detective Sergeants	1	1		1	5	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	100.0%	
Gang	1	1		7	9	11.1%	11.1%	77.8%	0.0%	100.0%	
Proactive/Emphasis Team	2	3		8	13	15.4%	23.1%	61.5%	0.0%	100.0%	
Proactive/COP Sgts.					2	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
HUD Funded Officer					0						
School Officer		2			2	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Traffic					6	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Admin. Sergeant					2						
Patrol Sergeants Flex	3	6		6	21	8.6%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	60.0%	
Patrol Sergeants City	9.5				9.5	27.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.1%	
Patrol Sergeants County only	3.5				4.5	10.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	12.9%	
Patrol Officers City	41			43	84	14.9%	0.0%	15.6%	0.0%	30.5%	
Patrol Officers County only	27			18	45	9.8%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	16.4%	
Patrol Officers Flex	24	54		18	146	8.7%	19.6%	6.5%	18.2%	53.1%	
Light Duty Officers					0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Sick/Disability Leave					0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Military Leave					0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Recruits					0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Patrol Total	92	54		79	50	33.5%	19.6%	28.7%	18.2%	100.0%	
Precinct Sworn Total	132	85		128	83	33.4%	21.5%	32.4%	21.0%	100.0%	
CPOs City				3	5.5	0.0%	0.0%	35.3%	64.7%	100.0%	
CPOs Flex				4	0.5	0.0%	0.0%	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%	
Clerical - City	1				1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Clerical - County	4	3		4	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	100.0%	
Evidence Tech	1	1		1	4	33.4%	21.5%	33.9%	22.8%	100.0%	
Precinct Staff Total	138	89		140	94						

10281

King County DFS

1995 Workload and Staffing

1994 Workload Indicators	Beaux Arts		Federal Way		Newcastle	North Bend	SeaTac	Shoreline	Skykomish	Woodinville	Non-Contract		Total
	Arts	Burien	Way	Way							Contract	Uninc.	
Bomb Disposal Incidents	0	10	13	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	24	91	144
Carbine Details	0	372	572	4	16	296	632	0	0	148	556	8,908	11,504
FFOC Caseload	0	272	488	5	38	88	291	4	4	24	1,509	87	2,719
Gang Unit Caseload	0	14	12	0	0	4	14	0	0	1	1	10	142
Hostage Negotiation Incidents	0	2	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	10	18
Part 1 Major Crimes	0	156	363	11	7	161	156	2	2	16	4	947	1,819
Tactical Unit Incidents	0	0	2	0	0	2	182	0	0	0	0	17	25
Vice Unit Arrests	0	0	89	0	0	123	182	0	0	0	0	51	445
Licensed Gambling Establishments	0	18	29	0	8	10	15	0	0	6	0	99	185

10281

1994 Workload Indicators	Beaux Arts		Federal Way		Newcastle	North Bend	SeaTac	Shoreline	Skykomish	Woodinville	Non-Contract		Total
	Arts	Burien	Way	Way							Contract	Uninc.	
Bomb Disposal Incidents	0.00%	6.94%	9.03%	1.39%	1.39%	1.39%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	63.19%	100.00%
Carbine Details	0.00%	3.23%	4.97%	0.03%	0.14%	2.57%	5.49%	0.00%	0.00%	1.29%	4.83%	77.43%	100.00%
FFOC Caseload	0.00%	10.00%	17.95%	0.18%	1.40%	3.24%	10.70%	0.15%	0.88%	0.00%	55.50%	55.50%	100.00%
Gang Unit Caseload	0.00%	9.86%	8.45%	0.00%	0.00%	9.86%	9.86%	0.00%	0.70%	0.00%	61.27%	61.27%	100.00%
Hostage Negotiation Incidents	0.00%	11.11%	5.56%	0.00%	0.00%	22.22%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	55.56%	55.56%	100.00%
Part 1 Major Crimes	0.00%	8.58%	19.96%	0.60%	0.38%	8.85%	8.58%	0.11%	0.88%	0.00%	52.06%	52.06%	100.00%
Tactical Unit Incidents	0.00%	0.00%	8.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	68.00%	68.00%	100.00%
Vice Unit Arrests	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	27.64%	40.90%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	11.46%	11.46%	100.00%
Licensed Gambling Establishments	0.00%	9.73%	15.68%	0.00%	4.32%	5.41%	8.11%	0.00%	3.24%	0.00%	53.51%	53.51%	100.00%

1995 Workload Indicators	Beaux Arts		Federal Way		Newcastle	North Bend	SeaTac	Shoreline	Skykomish	Woodinville	Non-Contract		Total
	Arts	Burien	Way	Washelli							Contract	Uninc.	
Bomb Disposal Incidents	0	5	13	0	0	1	2	4	0	1	43	83	152
Canine Details	0	396	582	0	0	273	237	318	0	81	1,614	3,183	3,183
FFOC Caseload	0	211	640	11	23	94	318	0	73	0	1,676	3,046	3,046
Gang Unit Caseload	0	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	11	19
Hostage Negotiation Incidents	0	144	381	6	14	162	137	0	22	0	956	1,822	1,822
Part 1 Major Crimes	0	1	2	0	0	4	17	0	0	0	4	12	23
Tactical Unit Incidents	0	0	33	0	0	36	17	0	0	0	0	6	92
Vice Unit Arrests	0	18	29	0	8	10	15	0	6	0	0	99	185
Licensed Gambling Establishments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

1995 Workload Indicators	Beaux Arts		Federal Way		Newcastle	North Bend	SeaTac	Shoreline	Skykomish	Woodinville	Non-Contract		Total
	Arts	Burien	Way	Washelli							Contract	Uninc.	
Bomb Disposal Incidents	0.00%	3.29%	8.53%	0.00%	0.00%	1.32%	2.63%	0.00%	0.66%	28.29%	54.61%	100.00%	100.00%
Canine Details	0.00%	12.44%	18.28%	0.00%	0.00%	8.58%	7.45%	0.00%	2.54%	0.00%	50.71%	100.00%	100.00%
FFOC Caseload	0.00%	6.93%	21.01%	0.36%	0.76%	3.09%	10.44%	0.00%	2.40%	0.00%	55.02%	100.00%	100.00%
Gang Unit Caseload	0.00%	5.26%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	15.79%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	10.53%	57.89%	100.00%	100.00%
Hostage Negotiation Incidents	0.00%	7.90%	20.91%	0.33%	0.77%	8.89%	7.52%	0.00%	1.21%	0.00%	52.47%	100.00%	100.00%
Part 1 Major Crimes	0.00%	4.35%	8.70%	0.00%	0.00%	17.39%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	17.39%	52.17%	100.00%	100.00%
Tactical Unit Incidents	0.00%	0.00%	35.87%	0.00%	0.00%	39.13%	18.48%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.52%	100.00%	100.00%
Vice Unit Arrests	0.00%	9.73%	15.68%	0.00%	4.32%	5.41%	8.11%	0.00%	3.24%	0.00%	53.51%	100.00%	100.00%
Licensed Gambling Establishments	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

10281

1994/95 Workload Indicator AVE	Beaux Arts		Federal Way		Newcastle	North Bend	SeaTac	Shoreline	Skykomish	Woodinville	Non-Contract		Total
	Arts	Burien	Way	Uninc.							Contract	Uninc.	
Bomb Disposal Incidents	0	8	13	1	2	2	2	2	0	1	34	87	148
Canine Details	0	384	577	0	8	285	435	0	0	115	278	5,261	7,344
FFOC Caseload	0	242	564	8	31	91	305	2	2	49	0	1,593	2,883
Gang Unit Caseload	0	7	6	0	0	7	7	0	0	1	0	44	71
Hostage Negotiation Incidents	0	2	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	11	19
Part I Major Crimes	0	150	372	9	11	162	147	1	1	19	0	952	1,822
Tactical Unit Incidents	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	15	24
Vice Unit Arrests	0	0	61	0	0	80	100	0	0	0	0	29	269
Licensed Gambling Establishments	0	18	29	0	8	10	15	0	0	6	0	99	185

1994/95 Workload Indicator AVE	Beaux Arts		Federal Way		Newcastle	North Bend	SeaTac	Shoreline	Skykomish	Woodinville	Non-Contract		Total
	Arts	Burien	Way	Uninc.							Contract	Uninc.	
Bomb Disposal Incidents	0.00%	5.07%	8.78%	0.68%	1.01%	1.35%	1.35%	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%	22.64%	58.78%	100.00%
Canine Details	0.00%	5.23%	7.86%	0.03%	0.11%	3.87%	5.92%	0.00%	0.00%	1.56%	3.79%	71.64%	100.00%
FFOC Caseload	0.00%	8.38%	19.57%	0.28%	1.06%	3.16%	10.56%	0.07%	0.07%	1.68%	0.00%	55.25%	100.00%
Gang Unit Caseload	0.00%	8.11%	5.41%	0.00%	0.00%	18.92%	2.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.11%	56.76%	100.00%
Hostage Negotiation Incidents	0.00%	8.23%	20.42%	0.47%	0.58%	8.86%	8.04%	0.05%	0.05%	1.04%	0.00%	52.22%	100.00%
Part I Major Crimes	0.00%	2.08%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	60.42%	100.00%
Tactical Unit Incidents	0.00%	0.00%	22.72%	0.00%	0.00%	29.61%	37.06%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.61%	100.00%
Vice Unit Arrests	0.00%	0.00%	15.68%	0.00%	4.32%	5.41%	8.11%	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%	0.00%	53.51%	100.00%
Licensed Gambling Establishments	0.00%	9.73%	15.68%	0.00%	4.32%	5.41%	8.11%	0.00%	0.00%	3.24%	0.00%	53.51%	100.00%

10281

Maple Valley option I - 1997

Exhibit E

10281

L:\MANNING\MANNING\GDPS\CONTRACT\1997\COST97.XLS

UPDATED FOR WORKLOAD AND ORANGE BOOK

Flexible Services Model

Precinct/City Services		Flex Model Pricing Structure				
Title	R/O	Flex Model Billing Factor	?	Amount	Flex Service Cost	FTE
Canine (city)	R/O	FTE				
Captain - City Chief	O	FTE				
Captain- Pct. Operations	R	% Pct. DCFS	Y	5.76%	14,171	0.12
Community Crime Prevention Unit	O	% Pct. Activity	N	5.76%	-	0.00
Community Policing Specialists	O	% Pct. DCFS				
Community Service Officers	O	% FTE				
DARE	O	% FTE	Y	0.2	18,622	0.20
Evidence and Supply Tech	R/O	% FTE				
Pct. Facilities and Maintenance	R	% Pct. DCFS		5.76%	9,549	
Major - City Chief	O	FTE				
Major - Pct. Commander	R	% Pct. DCFS		5.76%	7,402	0.06
Office Tech I	R/O	NA				
Office Tech II	R/O	NA				
Office Tech III	R/O	NA				
Pct. Crime Analysis	O	% Pct. DCFS	N	5.76%	-	0.00
Pct. Detectives	R	% Pct. DCFS		5.76%	44,501	0.40
Pct. Detective Sgt.	R/O	NA				
Pct. Pro-Active	O	% Pct. DCFS	N	5.76%	-	0.00
Reactive Patrol (flex)	R	% Pct. DCFS		0.00%	-	0.00
Reactive Patrol (city)	O	FTE				
Reactive Patrol Sgts. (flex)	R	% Pct. DCFS		3.84%	24,266	0.23
Reactive Patrol Sgts. (city)	O	FTE				
				1.00	105,264	1.00
Precinct Cost \$					1,073,011	11.01

Support Services	R/O	Billing Factor	?	Amount	Service Cost	FTE
Air Support	O	% DCFS or Cost per call		1.25%	-	-
Asset Forfeiture	O	% P1 Crimes		1.41%	-	-
Bomb Disposal Unit	R/O	% Incidents		0.49%	688	0.00
Canine	R/O	% Canine Details		2.82%	-	-
Communications-911	R	% DCFS		1.25%	73,265	0.88
Crimestoppers	O	% Total Crimes		1.45%	-	-
Drug Enforcement Unit	O	% P1 Crimes		1.41%	-	-
DWI	O	% DCFS		1.25%	-	-
Fraud, Forgery, Organized Crime	O	% Caseload		0.76%	-	-
General Traffic	O	%DCFS		1.25%	-	-
Hostage Negotiation	R/O	% Incidents		0.00%	-	-
Major Crimes Detectives	R	% P1 Major Crimes		1.00%	37,444	0.31
Marine Patrol	O	NA				
MARR Unit	R/O	% Incidents		3.25%	12,693	0.19
Motorcycle	O	%DCFS		1.25%	-	-
Tactical Unit	R	% Incidents		6.25%	-	-
Vice	O	% Unit Arrests		0.00%	-	-
Gambling	O	% Gambling Licenses		4.49%	-	-
Support Cost \$					124,090	1.40
Total Cost \$					1,197,102	12.40

1. This assumes 2 dedicated reactive patrol staff for 2 shifts per day, 1 dedicated reactive patrol staff for 1 shift per day 365 days/yr. This includes 1 dedicated sergeant (city chief) and 2 shifts flex coverage.
2. Maple Valley is expected to pay for air support, bomb disposal, MARR, and tactical unit services on a per use basis as shown in exhibit E.

Maple Valley option I - 1997

Exhibit B

102811

<u>Workload Indicators - 1996</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Prec</u>	<u>% Prec. Flex</u>	<u>%Total</u>	
Dispatched Calls	1958	5.76%	5.76%	1.25%	
Pct Detective Caseload		0.00%			
Comm. Crime Prev. Csl.d.		0.00%			
Part 1 Crimes	414			1.41%	
Part 2 Crimes					
Total Crimes	766			1.45%	
Part 1 Major Crimes (Estimate)	15.68			1.00%	1996
Bomb Disposal Incidents	1			0.49%	1996
Canine Details	29			2.82%	1996
FFOC Caseload	18			0.76%	1996
Gang Unit Caseload					1996
Hostage Negotiation Incidents	0			0.00%	1996
Tactical Unit Incidents	1			6.25%	1996
Vice Unit Arrests	0			0.00%	1996
Licensed Gambling Establishments	7			4.49%	1996
Pct. CPO Staff					
Pct. Crime Analysts	1				
Pct. Detectives	7				
Pct. Patrol Flex %	19.64%				
React Patrol Sgt. %	17.14%				
Captains - Pct. Ops.	2				
Pct. Facilities and Maintenance cost	165,681				
Precinct Sworn Staff	85				
Precinct CCPU Staff	1				

Guidelines for City and County Policy Development and Implementation

I. DISCRETIONARY POLICIES UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE CITY

- Prioritization of reactive patrol free time
- Awards Program
- Travel & Expense Guidelines
- False Alarm Ordinances/Response
- Impound Procedures
- Community Policing
- Crime Prevention Standards
- Additional Training
- Supplemental Reports
- Incident Notification Policies
- Job Description of Supplemental FTE's

II. DISCRETIONARY POLICIES WHICH NEED TO BE NEGOTIATED WITH THE COUNTY BUT MAY VARY FROM CITY TO CITY

- Accident Response Criteria
- Court Attendance Policies
- Callout Procedures
- Uniform/Equipment/Vehicles (including appearance regulations)
- Reserve Program
- Communications Center Procedures
- Traffic Enforcement Policy & Procedures
- K-9 Policing
- Response Priorities
- Shift Hours
- Specialty Unit Personnel Selection (Street Crimes Units, Crime Prevention, D.A.R.E., etc.)
- Prioritization of Precinct Detective Workload

III. DISCRETIONARY POLICIES WHICH REQUIRE UNIFORM APPLICATION DEPARTMENT-WIDE

- Pursuit Policy
- Seized Property
- Basic Skills Training
- Emergency Vehicle Operations
- Firearms (Include Reviews)

- Use of Force
- Off-duty Work
- FTO Program
- Personnel Evaluation System
- IIU Policies & Procedures
- Reporting Forms
- HNT/SWAT
- Alternative Work Schedules ¹
- Standards of Conduct
- Arrest Warrant Policies
- Labor Contracts (4)
- Supervisory Standards

¹* Any area that affects wages, hours or working conditions must be negotiated with the organized bargaining unit impacted. They include:

Police Officers & Sergeants Guild
Local 519 Public Safety Employees (Lieutenants & Captains)
Local 519 Non-Commissioned Dispatchers
Local 519 Non-Commissioned (Clerical, CSO's, and Evidence & Supply Clerks)

IV. POLICIES MANDATED BY LOCAL, STATE AND/OR FEDERAL LAW

- DV Response
- Search & Rescue
- Civil Process
- Landlord - Tenant Policies
- Abandoned/Unclaimed Property
- Training

BLET

BAC - State

First Aid - L&I

CPR - L&I

Computer info access training

Airborne/bloodborne pathogens

OSHA/WSHA/EPA requirements

- King County Code of Ethics
- Public Disclosure & Records
- Gun Permits
- FLSA
- Family Leave & Benefits Policies
- ADA
- Civil Service Rules
- King County Career Service Rules
- EEOC Guidelines/Requirements
- Discipline

Exhibit D
Police Manager

10281

Supervision Received

- A. The Police Manager shall report to the city's chief executive officer and to the existing command structure within the King County Department of Public Safety (KCDPS).
- B. KCDPS maintains authority and responsibility over the precinct.
- C. In the event a city procedure, policy, goal or operation differs from the County's, that city shall negotiate with the County's to reach a final determination. The city and County's will share responsibility and liability for any mutually negotiated deviation from County's procedure, policy or operation.
- D. The city's chief executive officer shall have the general duty and responsibility of providing to the assigned police manager general direction relative to the furnishing of law enforcement services to the city.
- E. The police manager shall maintain communication between command structures to assure that changes in the County's are agreeable to the city and that changes in the city are agreeable to the County's.

Duties to include:

- 1. Establish goals and objectives for city police services, which reflect the specific needs within the city. Identify performance indicators for the city, which measure the established goals and objectives.
- 2. Oversee the implementation within the city of all KCDPS policies and procedures. Maintain a copy of current city police procedures on file at city hall for the city's reference. Notify city's chief executive officer of any county procedures or changes which either supplement or possibly detract from the city's goals and objectives for police services.
- 3. Oversee the implementation of all city policies and procedures relating to police services. Provide to KCDPS any written information relative to police services created by the city. Notify KCDPS of all procedures which differ from King policies and procedures.
- 4. Establish standards of performance for officers assigned to the city.
- 5. Identify areas of supplemental training for officers assigned to the city. Make recommendations to KCDPS for supplemental training. Make recommendations to the city's chief executive officer for training not provided by KCDPS.
- 6. Review the city established performance indicators for city police services against the city's stated goals and objectives. Report to the city's chief executive officer on progress of goal attainment.
- 7. Review the performance of officers assigned to the city. Report to city's chief executive officer and precinct any recommendations for performance improvement.
- 8. Perform selected roll call within city of city assigned officers.
- 9. Coordinate duties of officers assigned to the city as specific needs arise, and as requested by city's chief executive officer within the context of established policies and procedures. Report to precinct any changes in duty of city assigned officers.
- 10. Coordinate police activities within the city, including hours of operation and city specific protocols and procedures.

1997 HOURLY COSTS FOR SELECTED SERVICES

Service	1997 Est. Cost	1995 Flight Hours*	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
Air Support	478,868	495	967	1,935	Minimum charge is 2 hours.
Service	1997 Est. Cost	1995 Mission Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
Bomb Disposal Unit	139,723	922	152	607	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 2 officers.
Service	1997 Est. Cost	1997 Person Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
Canine Unit	1,070,096	15,768	68	136	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 1 officer.
Service	1997 Est. Cost	1997 Person Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
DARE Unit	1,210,425	22,776	53		Typical class = 25 hours or \$1,329
Service	1997 Est. Cost	1997 Person Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
Drug Unit	992,140	15,768	63	126	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 1 officer.
Service	1997 Est. Cost	1995 Mission Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
Hostage Negotiation Team	42,407	200	212	1,696	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 4 officers.
Service	1997 Est. Cost	1997 Person Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
Major Crimes	3,734,888	70,080	53	107	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 1 officer.
Service	1997 Est. Cost	1997 Hourly Cost of Boat	1997 Hourly Cost per Officer	Minimum Charge	Notes
Marine Patrol	731,203	36	57	300	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 2 officers.
Marine Patrol - Dive Unit	see above	36	57	529	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 4 officers.

10281

10281

Service	1997 Est. Cost	1995 Mission Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
MARR Unit	390,930	5,845	67	268	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 2 officers.

Service	1997 Est. Cost	1997 Person Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
Polygraph Examiner	93,143	1,752	53	53	

Service	1997 Est. Cost	1995 Mission Hours	1997 Hourly Cost	Minimum Charge	Notes
Tactical Unit	265,452	1,270	209	2,926	Minimum charge is 2 hours for 7 officers.

*Includes mission time only

** Based on 1,752 available hours per year

Available Time	Days	Hours
Work Days	261	2,088
Sick Leave	(9)	(72)
Vacation	(15)	(120)
Military Leave	(1)	(5)
In-Service Training	(5)	(40)
Holidays	(12)	(96)
TOTAL	219	1,752